FILED IN OFFICE

2016 MAR 21 A 10: 13

SUPERIOR	COURT	OF	CHATHAM	I CC	UNTY	
EASTERN	JUDICIA	T. (CTRCUTT	OF	GEORGIA	1

Tractic Berna DEP CLK SUPERIOR CT. CHATHAM COUNTY GA.

THE STATE OF GEORGIA)	CASE NO.: CR13-2673-J2
)	Poss/Delivery Of C/S With
vs.)	Intent Distribute (3)
)	
)	
FABIAN KEITH NOTTO	.)	
)	
)	

Transcript of the proceedings heard during a MOTION HEARING in the above-styled case at the Chatham County Courthouse, Savannah, Georgia, on the 1st day of March, 2016, before the Honorable LOUISA ABBOT, Judge, Superior Court, Eastern Judicial Circuit, State of Georgia.

APPEARANCES:

For the State: J

JEREMIAH JOHNSON

NOAH ABRAMS

Assistant District Attorney

Savannah, Georgia

For the Defense: LARRY CHISOLM

Savannah, Georgia

Court Reporter: Andrea White, CCR

THE COURT: This is State of Georgia versus Fabian

Notto, it's CR13-2673. He is present here in court with

his attorney, Larry Chisolm. The State being represented

by Assistant District Attorney's Jeremiah Johnson and Noah

Abrams.

And we are here on the Defendant's motion for reconsideration of a ruling made on the Defendant's motion to suppress, which was denied. So, Mr. Chisolm, do you intend to present evidence on your motion for reconsideration?

MR. CHISOLM: Brief evidence, Your Honor. And one witness has just arrived in the courtroom and I have not had a chance to speak with him yet to see whether or not he even knows what's going on today. So, I may need a second just talk to him.

THE COURT: Okay. You've got just a second. I'm just kidding. So do you want to do that right now or do you want to go ahead and have the other witnesses sworn and have that presented? I mean, either way is fine with me, you let me know.

MR. CHISOLM: That's the only witness that has appeared today and I think that would be the one we're going to present.

THE COURT: Okay. So you would like to speak to that witness momentarily outside in the hallway?

1 MR. CHISOLM: Yes, ma'am. 2 THE COURT: MR. ABRAMS: If Mr. Chisolm wants a moment alone, if 3 we just might have a moment right afterwards to also find 4 5 out. 6 THE COURT: Sure. 7 (NOTE: Pause.) THE COURT: Is everyone ready to proceed? 8 MR. CHISOLM: We're ready, Your Honor. 9 10 MR. JOHNSON: We're ready, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: Because it is a motion for reconsideration filed by the Defendant, at this point the 12 13 burden is incumbent upon you, I believe, Mr. Chisolm, to 14 come forward and show why I should reconsider my order. 15 So it's not the same as the original motion to suppress where the burden would be on the State. You agree? 16 17 MR. CHISOLM: I do, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: All right. Then you want to swear your 19 witness. 20 (NOTE: All witnesses sworn.) 21 THE COURT: And you may call your witness, Mr. 22 Chisolm. I've read your motion, so - -23 MR. CHISOLM: That's what I wanted to - - I wasn't 24 clear on whether the Court had an opportunity to review 25 it, so you answered my question.

1 THE COURT: Yes, I'm familiar. And needless to say I'm familiar with the case and I realize that what you're 3 bringing forward is something that you believe affects the 4 credibility of earlier testimony regarding the basis for 5 the stop, is that correct? 6 MR. CHISOLM: Essentially, Your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 MR. CHISOLM: As well as based on the factors 9 outlined in the motion, the detention after the stop as 10 well is brought into question by some of the additional 11 factors that have been asserted since the time of the 12 original motion. THE COURT: I have reviewed it, and since - - the 13 14 record will reflect that I presided over all the hearings 15 concerning the motion to suppress, so I do have, I think, 16 a good grasp of that. Moreover, did the order based on 17 the evidence previously, and so, I'm ready for you to have 18 your witness come on up. 19 THE COURT: Good afternoon. 20 THE WITNESS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Chisolm, you may proceed whenever 22 you're ready. 23 MR. CHISOLM: Thank you. 24 SERGEANT ROBERT LARRY WAS CALLED BY THE DEFENSE AND HAVING BEEN 25 DULY SWORN, TOOK THE STAND AND TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

1	DIRECT EX	AMINATION BY MR. CHISOLM:
2	Q	Sir, stat your name for the record.
3	Q	Sergeant Robert Larry.
4	Q	Where are you employed?
5	A	I'm currently assigned with Savannah-Chatham
6	Metropoli	tan Police Department Internal Affairs.
7	Q	And how long have you been employed by the Savannah-
8	Chatham M	etro Police Department?
9	A	Going on twenty-four years.
10	Q	And how long have you been assigned to Internal
11	Affairs?	
12	A	Going on maybe three years or so.
13	Q	Three years?
14	A	Three years or so.
15	Q	What year was that?
16	A	When I was assigned?
17	Q	Uh-huh.
18	А	I believe it was like 2012 or something like that.
19	Q	Directing your attention back to August of 2013, did
20	you have	an occasion to be involved in an investigation
21	concernin	g Officer Castro?
22	A	Yes, I was.
23	Q	Can you explain to the Court how you became involved
24	in that i	nvestigation?
25	A	Basically there was some concerns from the command

```
staff in regards to the use of force that was used on a subject
1
2
    by the name of Mishawn Green (phonetic).
              Could you explain to the Court the circumstances of
3
4
    the report that was made?
              I'm sorry?
5
         Α
6
              Could you explain to the Court the circumstances
    surrounding that report?
8
              Surrounding my report or?
9
              The report that was made to you that there was a need
10
    for an investigation involving Officer Castro and Mishawn
11
    Green.
              Basically there was an allegation of excessive force
12
    and the command staff wanted it looked into.
13
14
              And you were the primary investigator associated with
15
    that?
16
         Α
              Yes.
17
              THE COURT: Detective Larry, could you spell the
18
         first name of Mr. Green for the court reporter?
19
              THE WITNESS: Mishawn Green. I believe it spelled M-
20
         I-S-H-A-W-N.
21
              THE COURT: Thank you. Sorry to interrupt Mr.
22
         Chisolm.
23
              And in connection with that report, you were the
24
    primary investigator?
25
         Α
              Yes.
```

And you've had an opportunity to review your reports 2 and records pertaining to that particular event? 3 I got to scan over it. You mentioned to me just a few moments ago that it 5 was more than just report one report that I had that it was 6 really a pretty voluminous case file, is that correct? 7 The case file is actually two hundred and 8 forty-six pages. 9 And directing your attention specifically to what 10 occurred, can you tell the Court what were the circumstances of 11 the arrest of Mishawn Green? 12 Basically Metro officers were conducting a Α 13 surveillance on Mr. Green for several burglaries that they were 14 looking into, there were some burglaries they were looking 15 into. At which time Officer Castro, at one point one of the 16 locations they were sitting there for quite a while and he 17 decided to go knock on the door and determine whether or not 18 the suspect was at the home. 19 He knocked on the door, someone opened the door, a 20 young lady opened the door and he said he thought he had a 21 glimpse - on his report indicates he thought he saw a glimpse 22 of her - - of him, Mishawn Green. At which time he went back 23 to the car and was trying to alert everyone that thinks he 24 might be there, but he wasn't sure. At which time Mr. Green

came out of the house, walked over and started looking in the

25

cars and then he saw Officer Castro in the vehicle. Officer 2 Castro was in plain clothes and when the subject saw him 3 holding his radio, it surprised him and Officer Castro was surprised also that the suspect walked over to the vehicle. At which time a pursuit began. Officer Castro chased 5 6 after the suspect. Some of his property came off, his badge 7 came off, I believe his holster came off - - excuse me, his 8 badge came off. The suspect lost a shoe; they were running for 9 quite a distance. At which time Officer Castro was able to apprehend the subject and then there was a brief physical 10 11 struggle between the two, at which time Officer Castro was able to take the suspect in the custody. After he was able to 12 13 officers responded. 14 Let's go back to when he took the suspect into 15 custody. Well, actually let's go further back to when you're 16 talking about them being at the door, was there, in the course 17 of your investigation was there something irregular about what 18 he did as far as going to the door? 19 He had permission from his supervisor. supervisors told him that he could do so, to go knock on the 20 21 door. 22 Do you have the report and front of you? 23 Α Yeah. Did you not interview his supervisors in connection 24 25 to his instructions?

I believe they did make some mention about they 2 thought that he might - - they felt as though - -3 (NOTE: Pause. Witness reviewing documents.) 4 I vaguely remember this! There was some 5 communication error between him and one of the primary detectives as far as going to the scene, going and knocking on 7 the door. One supervisor - -8 More specifically, wasn't he told to hold his 9 position until - -10 MR. JOHNSON: Objection leading. 11 MR. CHISOLM: Your Honor, apparently the witness is 12 having some difficulty understanding what's in his report, 13 and I would point out for the record, that he is a member 14 of the Savannah-Chatham Metro Police Department and it 15 appears that he is minimizing what's included in the 16 report and I would ask for some latitude in terms of 17 cross-examination. 18 THE COURT: I'm not inclined to allow you to treat 19 him as hostile at this point. But, you know what would be 20 really helpful because I've got like some noise 21 interference, if you could come forward and speak more 22 loudly. And you can move this podium anywhere you want, 23 but they're apparently cleaning the front of the building, 24 for whatever purpose that is, I don't know. And you can 25 also approach the witness at will and point out what you

would want him to look at regarding your questions. I'm not going to let you lead right now, but we may get there, okay?

MR CHISOLM: I appreciate being closer. I'm hearing

MR. CHISOLM: I appreciate being closer, I'm hearing you better as well.

THE COURT: I know I have a very soft voice and honestly it sounds like a dentist drill. It's just a miserable noise, but there's nothing I can do about it, I'm not in charge of them.

Q Okay. Officer, so I want to direct your attention back to my question; my question pertained to the instructions that Mr. - - excuse me, Officer Castro had from supervision with regard to the investigation of the suspect Mr. Green that day.

A And again, to answer your question, yes, he was initially told to remain there. However, there were some communication errors between the lead investigator and also one of the supervisors, which was Sergeant Williams, which was her supervisor. At one point she thought that Sergeant Williams said go ahead and for Officer Castro to go ahead and do that. However, there was miscommunication between Officer Castro, Sergeant Williams, who was one of the supervisors, and Detective Berkowski (phonetic), who was there.

Q And you have that outlined in your report that was submitted to me in reference to my open-records request?

1 I don't know what was submitted to you in your open-2 records request. 3 I handed you a report from which you were - -4 Α Yes, sir. There's an entire case file, like I said 5 two hundred and forty-six pages where each person's statement 6 was transcribed. And Sergeant Williams, I know there was a communication and when she and I talked about it during her 8 interview, she said there were some communication errors. would be in her transcribed statement that she had I believe. 9 So, again, to answer your question, yes, was he told initially 10 11 to wait there, yes; however, he operated under the assumption 12 that the Sergeant knew that he was going to do when he spoke to 13 Detective Berkowski. 14. Okay. So, let's move forward then. After the 15 suspect got out of the house and there was the chase by Officer Castro behind the suspect, Mr. Green, you said that there was -16 17 - how did you describe it? What happened between the two? 18 There was a struggle between the two. Α 19 And in the course of the struggle was there a gun Q 20 produced? 21 Α Yes. 22 0 And who produced a gun? 23 Officer Castro. Α And what were the circumstances of that? 24 Q. 25 Officer Castro placed his gun to the subject's head A

```
1
    and told the subject to stop resisting, at which time the
2
    subject stopped resisting. And then we he attempted to re-
3
    holster the subject would start struggling again and that kind
    of went back and forth. And, at which time - - each time the
4
5
    subject struggled Officer Castro would produce his weapon.
6
              When you say produce his weapon, what do you mean by
7
    that?
8
              He put - - he places his gun, his weapon to the
9
    subject's head. However, he unloaded his weapon prior to doing
10
    so.
11
              Did the suspect also make any indications about being
         Q
12
    struck by the weapon?
13
              I'm not sure about that!
14
              Are you familiar with the term pistol-whipping?
         Q
15
              That was a statement that he had allegedly made to
16
    Officer Dana Knight, that he was pistol-whipped, but there was
17
    no evidence of that.
18
              After the conclusion of the arrest, did the officer
19
    have an opportunity to prepare a report about what occurred?
20
         A
              Yes.
21
              And what did - - in his initial report what did he
22
    say about the incident?
23
              He basically wrote his report; however, he did not
24
    indicate the portion involving the weapon. He initially did
25
    his report, there was some question about it, he then amended
```

1 his report. After he amended his report, that one went into 2 the file. 3 Do you have your report with you? Yes, this is it. 4 In that report you made a summary of what statements 5 Officer Castro made to you during the course of your 6 7 investigation, is that correct? 8 Yes, I did. 9 What did he tell you about the first report? 10 THE WITNESS: As far as we have it on the record, 11 Your Honor, these statements were made under Garrity at 12 the time. THE COURT: All right. 13 14 During which time - - you want the entire statement? 15 Uh-huh (affirmative). Prior to a statement Officer Garrity - - Officer 16 17 Castro was given his Garrity warning, he stated that he understood them. After reviewing his report APO Castro was 18 asked to tell me what happened. He advised Precinct Three CSU 19 20 Units were told to assist in the capture of the suspect Mishawn 21 Green. 22 CSU Units were told to assist with the capture the 23 suspect, Mishawn Green. APO Castro advised his duty for the 24 operation were surveillance and if Green was spotted to call 25 marked units in. APO Castro stated he parked in a lot of a

nearby barbershop, within visual range of the trailer.

Detective Berkowski called him from her cell phone and they talked about the fact that he saw no movement.

APO Castro advised that he then called and asked, "How much time are we going to dedicate to this?" And Detective Berkowski replied "Not all day." APO Castro advised because he thought no one was home, he asked Detective Berkowski if he could go up to the trailer. She told him that she needed to let Sergeant Williams, who was one of the supervisors, know and that according to her Sergeant Williams said it was okay.

APO Castro advised he approached the trailer and as he was leaving, a female exited the trailer. He told her he was looking for a subject named John Brown and she told him no one lived there by that name. APO Castro advised that as he walked back to the car, he called Detective Berkowski and told her he saw a male in the house. As Detective Berkowski was going to relay the information, Mishawn Green came out of the trailer and he, APO Castro, told Detective Berkowski over the phone it was him. APO Castro advised his radio was on, so he turned it down. APO Castro tried to conceal himself in his vehicle, however the suspect Green saw him and then fled. He advised he was still on the phone so he told Detective Berkowski the suspect was fleeing. When asked if he alerted other units over the radio he said no, he told Detective Berkowski, who was still on the phone with him.

When asked why he turned off his radio when he saw the subject coming towards him - - coming towards him, he said he just did it. He advised he understood tactically his actions were not sound. He advised things happened so fast that members of Precinct Three CSU Unit normally relay information. He was asked what happened after Green fled and APO Castro advised he was unable to retrieve his radio prior to chasing Green.

He chased Green dropping items, for example, his spare magazine, a shoe and Green lost his slippers. They jumped several fences until eventually they ended up in an empty field. APO Castro advised that he thought to himself F-U-C-K, I got him but I don't have my F'ing radio and he didn't know where he was at. He advised Green was tired and he fell down so he, Castro, jumped on top of him. Castro advised Green began making statements like he had kids and he was not going to jail. When Green felt no back up was coming Green said, "So if you ain't got no backup coming, so what we gonna do?" He advised Green tried to get up but he, Castro, kept him from escaping.

APO Castro advised he felt Green thought he was afraid of him so Castro stated he would use this to his advantage. Castro advised that he kept his right arm around Green's neck with no pressure and used his left hand to eject his pistol's magazine. He put it in his pocket and then

ejected the chambered round. He put the pistol to Green's head

1

25

2 and said, "Okay. You're right. You're right. You got me. 3 You got me. Hey MF'er, it's me or you. I chose me so if you 4 F-around with me I'm going to blow your F'ing head off around here." 5 Green tried to escape at least two or three more 7 APO Castro advised each time he put the unloaded gun to 8 Green's head to gain compliance. Do you want me to continue? 9 0 Yes. 10 I asked if he had his badge with him, he stated he 11 did and he dressed in plain clothes. When asked if he 12 identified himself as a police officer to the initial female he 13 spoke to, he stated no. When asked looking back if he would've 14 taken the extra five seconds to retrieve his radio before 15 pursing the suspect he stated he would not because he would 16 have gained more distance from him. He eventually acknowledged he should've taken as radio with him. When asked why he laid 17 18 on top of Green if the suspect was exhausted and he stated he 19 tried to handcuff him. He advised Green said he didn't know he 20 was an officer and accused him of possibly trying to rob him. 21 When Sergeant Lovett asked, "If you had no radio, why 22 didn't you grab your cell phone?" He stated that he didn't 23 think about it. When asked why he unloaded the gun, he stated 24 he didn't want to put a real loaded gun to his head, "Some shit

- - excuse me, some S-H-I-T might happen and I actually shoot

him in the head." When asked if he felt that way, why did he put the gun to his head instead of holding him at gun point,

Castro stated he was trying to handcuff him.

When asked if he was trained to unload his gun or put it to the suspect's head he replied, "No, sir." When asked why he did not use any of the various other tactics we are taught he stated, "That stuff only works in DT, which is Defenses Tactics, not in real-life." When asked why he never used any of the other techniques, he stated the suspect never gave him a reason to strike him. He said, "The easiest choice was to use his gun and not fight him." When I asked if he had a knife - when asked what if he had a knife, referring to the suspect, APO Castro replied that he could have killed him in ten seconds with his hands if he wanted too. I then asked if that was the case why pull the gun out and he said he didn't want to kill him, but that the suspect had said something to him. When asked what he said he replied Green said that he was not going back to jail et cetera.

When asked if looking back on this if he would do it again, APO Castro stated that, "No, he would've just been a sorry MF'er and let him run away." He advised that he felt when you give a hundred percent you end up in IA. APO Castro advised he didn't normally chase suspects without his radio. If then questioned him about what he told his supervisors about use of force and what he wrote in his report.

APO Castro was asked if the first report he submitted was complete and accurate and he stated no. He was asked if he completed the use of force portion of his report, he stated he had not. When asked why not, he replied that he knew he broke a couple of departmental policies and methods and that the methods he used were unconventional and that it was wrong. He went on to state that APO Dana Knight approached him, she saw him, he had a gun to Green's head. Green asked APO Knight, "Did you see that? He had the gun to my head." And APO Knight stated to Green that "she didn't see anything." APO Castro advised that since she made that remark, that it was just her and him back there and he didn't have to put those facts in his report.

Sergeant Lovett asked APO Castro if he wrote the report being deceitful and Castro stated yes. When asked if he received any disciplinary action for his actions, he stated, "Yes. Sergeant Garvin drilled me a new A-S-S." He received a verbal counsel. When asked if he received any written documentation, he stated no, documented discipline, he stated no. When asked if at any point did he tell Sergeant Garvin or Sergeant Wilson he was going to the trailer to knock on the door, he stated, no, he spoke to Detective Berkowski and she was supposed to relay it to Sergeant Williams. He assumed that Sergeant Williams was going to relay that to Sergeant Garvin and/or Sergeant Wilson, who are both sergeants there on the

scene, also on the scene. 2 We discussed the departmental policy violations and 3 if he felt that he violated any and he acknowledged he violated some. The interview was concluded and CD was added to this 5 case file. 6 As a result of your investigation did he receive any 7 sort of disciplinary? 8 Yes. He received five days and a last chance agreement. 10 And what was that based on? 0 11 There was a Use of Force Board that was conducted and 12 during the Use of Force Board, the members of the board 13 recommended the five days suspension and the last chance 14 agreement. 15 So that was based on his use of force and failure to 16 include all of that information in his report? 17 MR. JOHNSON: Object Your Honor, leading. 18 THE COURT: I think this is more of a summary at this 19 point, I'll allow it. 20 So my question was, was the basis for the 21 disciplinary action for lack of information being included in a 22 report concerning use of force? 23 I believe it's for the entire incident overall, but Α 24 the use of force usually addresses the actual use of force that 25 was used. But I believe the five day suspension that he

received was for everything. 2 And when you say everything, was that also including 3 his admission that he was deceitful regarding the incident? He was cited under the general order of preliminary 4 A 5 investigations - - criminal investigations under the 6 preliminary investigations portion; failure to complete your 7 report fully and accurately, that's what I cited him for as well as the use of force issues. You just outlined what you read to be his statements, 10 is that a true and accurate reporting of what he said on the 11 day in question? 12 Α Yes. 13 And during that particular event, it is your specific 14 recollection that he admitted that he was deceitful, as far as his report was concerned in the investigation, is that correct? 15 16 That is correct. Basically he felt as though - - he A 17 18 My question was more specific than that, which was 19 whether or not he said it was deceitful? 20 Yes. He stated he was. 21 You said that there were other techniques, that 22 during the course of your conversation with him, that there 23 were other techniques that were available to him that should've 24 been utilized, do you recall what those - - what those 25 pertained to?

1 MR. JOHNSON: I think that's going to be irrelevant, 2 Your Honor. 3 That issue here is search and seizure MR. CHISOLM: and whether or not he had specifically been deceitful with 4 regard to an incident where search and seizure and 5 6 constitutional rights of an individual were involved, and 7 I was just pursuing - - because I don't know the answer to 8 the question, whether or not it had to do with any of 9 those search and seizure procedures that they utilize. 10 MR. JOHNSON: He wasn't even there at the scene that 11 day, he just called in the PC for the stop. 12 THE COURT: Well, I guess specifically, Detective, I 13 think that what Mr. Chisolm is trying to get to is - - did 14 Mr. Castro understand, Officer Castro understand what his 15 alternatives are with regard to Fourth Amendment issues? 16 And Detective Larry, I'm sure, is very familiar with this, 17 so Detective Larry, if you could make some response to 18 that question. 19 He was familiar. He did know that he had other Yes. 20 options and we went over those options. And do you want those 21 specifically? 22 Sir? Q 23 Do you want to know what those options were? A 24 What those options were if you know them. Q 25 One of them, basically, when the subject had fallen A

down he didn't have to try to have him at that point. He could have held him at gunpoint until more units got there. And then 3 when those units arrived, handcuffed him. There were some statements that he made in regards to the tactics that he used. 5 Officer Castro is a former Foreigh Legion, so he used some of these tactics during the apprehension of the suspect and some of those techniques - - well, those techniques aren't taught by 8 That was pretty much what we went over. 9 As a result of this incident was he required to 10 undergo any sort of remedial training with regard to search and 11 seizure? 12 A They did. They spoke with him. I know he had to - -13 he had to attend the use of force training. 14 understanding that they were scheduling him for some classes. 15 That part of the discipline we don't get involved in. We don't 16 get involved in recommendations or things of that nature. 17 he - - they were scheduling him for some classes, as far as use 18 of force and what techniques he should use and could have 19 utilized. 20 And that also extended to search and seizure? 21 I would not say, no. No, I don't think it had 22 anything to do with that portion, no. I think it dealt with 23 specifically the force that he used, the techniques that he 24 used, and that they were against departmental policy. 25 MR. CHISOLM: I have no further questions.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Johnson? 1 2 MR. JOHNSON: Just very briefly. 3 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. JOHNSON: 4 Q When you had the opportunity to interview Agent 5 Castro, based on your experience, he was open and honest with 6 you guys during that interview? Α Extremely. And just to briefly touch on the other options that 8 he had, you know, as far as holding somebody down at gunpoint 9 10 until backup arrived. Given that he was - - didn't have the 11 opportunity because he got into pursuit of that suspect quickly, who actually had an arrest warrant on them or for 12 13 them, he didn't know at what point backup would even be 14 arriving, did he? No, his radio - - he lost - - he left his radio in 15 16 the car when he took off and he dropped his cell phone. He was basically - - he was alone. He was alone for awhile trying to 17 take Green into custody. And he stated that Green made several 18 19 statements to him like it's me or you. I'm not going back to jail. I'm not - - I have kids. And Officer Castro stated he 20 felt as though - - he felt as though he did what he had to do 21 22 to take the subject to the custody. 23 Can having an IA investigation negatively affect 24 someone's career path whether any findings are substantiated or 25 not?

1 It could definitely if they're substantiated. 2 That's, however, and this is outside the subject, it can't 3 necessarily keep you from being promoted. But, if you try to go to another agency, this information may show up. Q And was Officer Castro terminated? 6 Α No, not at all. I know that you don't handle this part, as far as the 7 8 recommendations, but as far as you know was there ever any 9 recommendation that he be terminated? 10 I do not recall anyone + - I do not recall anyone 11 recommending that he gets terminated. During the Use of Force 12 Board that was not anything that was discussed, as far as 13 termination. They wanted to find out whether or not the force 14 that he used was justified or not! 15 Was anybody else cited in this investigation? 16 his supervisors cited? 17 Α Yes, they were. 18 Was that for dereliction of their supervisor 19 responsibilities essentially? 20 Yeah, there's a use of force report that needs to be 21 completed after the officer completes use of force. 22 sergeant then has to prepare a memo. And because he had two 23 sergeants, neither of them completed the use of force memo. 24 It's a minor policy violation, but they were cited and I 25 believe they received a written reprimand - - both received

written reprimands. 2 And I'm looking at all the things that Officer or 3 Agent Castro was (unintelligible) and those are all under the use of force guidelines? 5 Some of them were under use of force guidelines, the 6 others were under the policy for criminal investigations, under preliminary investigation. That's basically making sure his 7 report was completed and accurate the first time. 8 9 Specifically as to reporting use of force? 10 That, and as well as the parts that he left out of 11 his initial report. 12 And to your knowledge, since this incident has any 13 other- - has Agent Castro been subject of any other IA 14 investigations? 15 Α No. And to your knowledge, is APO Castro currently a 16 17 uniformed officer, still working the streets, writing reports 18 and effecting arrests? 19 Yes. He's still assigned to the same unit. still within the CSU Unit. Still in the same precinct. 20 21 working. 22 And in this report, did you make any findings in regards to Officer Castro's ability to formulate probable 23 24 cause, effectuate lawful Fourth Amendment searches? 25 To my knowledge there's been no other issues

involving APO Castro. 2 MR. JOHNSON: Thank you! 3 RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. CHISOLM: 4 You just indicated this was the last involving Officer Castro in terms of any sort of disciplinary actions? 6 Α I'm saying I'm not familiar with anything else No. 7 that I was involved in that I can remember. He may have had other incidents, but I can't remember being involved in 9 anything else. 10 So the correct response is you're not familiar with 0 11 them, but there would have been others, is that correct? 12 Any other use of force incidents? A 13 Q Other disciplinary issues that he faced with Internal 14 Affairs. 15 There could be. We all get assigned cases and I can Α 16 only talk about - -17 You didn't bring those records with you? 18 A I wasn't told to bring anything like that. 19 not aware of him having any other issues after this; he had a 20 last chance agreement. So with the last chance agreement they 21 would've terminated him for it. 22 Can you explain what a last chance agreement is? 23 A last chance agreement is if the department feels as Α 24 though there's an infraction, they can administer a last chance 25 agreement and if you violate any policies in relation to what

```
you were placed on the last chance agreement for, for example,
    if there's another use of force incident where he uses
3
    questionable tactics, he could be terminated.
4
              Are you familiar with the fact that he faced a
5
    sanction in 2010 in which he was sent again for training for
6
    search and seizure?
              I'm not sure.
              MR. JOHNSON: That would be previous to this
8
9
         incident.
              When I got the case it was in 2012, 2012 or 2013,
10
       · A
11
    2013.
12
              On cross-examination you were asked about other
13
    officers being cited in connection with this incident, do you
14
    remember approximately when all of this took place?
15
              When I completed my report I cited everyone. I cited
    APO Castro for the use of force. I cited APO Castro for the
16
17
    report. I cited Sergeant Wilson for supervisor responsibility
    and I cited Sergeant Garvin for supervisor responsibility.
18
19
              This is my fault I should have been more specific.
20
    Approximately what date did you do that?
21
         A
              Whatever date the report was completed, the file was
22
    completed. I mean, it would've been in 2013, so whenever I
23
    completed the file - -
24
              Your report says November 6th, 2013, is when you made
         Q
25
    this report, is that correct?
```

1	A Yeah. But that's when I wrote this report and then
2	we have what's called a closure report. And after we complete
3	the entire case file it goes through the chain. So this case
4	file would have gone to their commander, their captain, and
5	their captain would have prepared a LOT and then he would have
6	been the one to administer discipline.
7	Q So the incident took place on August 17th, 2013?
8	A That's correct.
9	Q And then he was interviewed by you on October 18th,
10	2013?
11	A October 17th, 2013.
12	Q And the discipline came sometime November/December
13	2013?
14	A I can't say when it came, I'm just saying we had a
15	Use of Force Board, and during which time we had a board
16	hearing and after the board hearing that's what they decided
17	that they were going to give him, the discipline they
18	administered. So to say it was November 6th, no. That board
19	hearing may have been months later.
20	MR. CHISOLM: No further questions.
21	THE COURT: Mr. Johnson?
22	MR. JOHNSON: Nothing from the State.
23	THE COURT: Lawyers come up for a moment to sidebar.
24	(NOTE: Off record sidebar.)
25	THE COURT: Any other witnesses, Mr. Chisolm?

1 MR. CHISOLM: Just some evidence and argue. 2 THE COURT: All right. MR. CHISOLM: Your Honor, at this time the Defense 3 offers into evidence Defendant's Exhibit Number 1, which 4 5 is a DVD that indicates a traffic stop at Barnard Street 6 and West Liberty Street. And it has the CRN, the date 7 prepared, and prepared by Veronica Parrish. THE COURT: Any objection? 8 9 MR. JOHNSON: No objection. 10 MR. CHISOLM: How long is this? I mean, if you play 11 it, how long is it? 12 MR. JOHNSON: I think the relevant portion comes in 13 at - -14 MR. CHISOLM: 3:50 15 MR. JOHNSON: Three minutes and thirty seconds. 16 THE COURT: Six minutes and thirty seconds? 17 MR. JOHNSON: Correct. MR. CHISOLM: I know it starts at 3:15 and it 18 19 finishes at about 3:44, correct? 20 MR. JOHNSON: What? 21 MR. CHISOLM: The recordings themselves start at 22 about 3:15 and then they stop - -MR. ABRAMS: When we listened to it, we looked at it 23 24 according to the counter the Windows Media Player and it 25 was six minutes and thirty seconds in when Officer Castro

makes the announcement that he observed the vehicle going through the intersection at Gwinnett Street. So that, in our mind was the critical part. But before that it's about six or five minutes before whatever the stop was when Agent Cogswell radioing about looking at a vehicle and wanting assistance, and then there's the actual stop, I think, occurs maybe ten minutes.

MR. CHISOLM: Right. So it's about six minutes.

THE COURT: The only reason I'm asking it, if you want me to listen to - - it's an audio obviously, not a video. If you want to listen to the whole thing I will, but I can't do it right now.

MR. CHISOLM: That was going to be my recommendation to the Court and I was offering it with the recommendation or the hope that the Court would, at its own discretion, review it as opposed to today.

THE COURT: That would be a really good idea because in five minutes I have matter in chambers that like a whole bunch of people are going to be there for. Not that they can't wait, and not that we want to continue to have many many hearings, but if you want me to listen to that whole thing I'm not going to do it right now but I will do it, yeah, on my own schedule.

So, that would be the evidence that you would be presenting and it's admitted.

MR. CHISOLM: And I also wanted to bring to the Court's attention, the transcript of the probation revocation hearing, which are referenced in the original motion to reconsider, pages 11 through 13 of the transcript before Your Honor on September 21st, 2015. This is the probation revocation on CR09-0506-J4, and that would be portions pertinent to the testimony of Officer Fikes (phonetic).

THE COURT: It seems to me that it's a good idea for me to listen to the audio. And I understand that you've offered the testimony regarding Officer Castro to cast suspicion upon his credibility. I would say that would be the purpose for that, right?

MR. CHISOLM: That's correct.

THE COURT: And then to look back at the evidence in the probation case, and at the additional hearings we had connections with this case, the motion hearings. So if I'm correct about that being your position, and including everything that's in your motion for reconsideration, does the State have anything to offer or is the State willing to allow me review all this and come back at a later date?

MR. JOHNSON: That would be perfect, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Perfect. Really perfect. Mr. Johnson is really good at giving me compliments. He's really really good at it. What was the last one you gave me, it was

1 like the best one I've ever had. 2 MR. CHISOLM: Your Honor, just so I can be clear, and 3 I had to look back, I missed a gap in - - what was the 4 final analysis of what's - -5 The final analysis is that I'm going to THE COURT: 6 review everything, listen to everything, then we're going 7 to reschedule for oral argument. Okay, is that all right? 8 MR. CHISOLM: Okay. Yes. 9 THE COURT: Jess, what do you think, what date? 10 THE COURT: March 24th at 1:30. Everybody check 11 their calendar on that one. You don't have to check it 12 right this second, but March 24th at 1:30 would be the 13 next date if everybody's available. If not, you all e-14 mail me, you know how to find me. Actually, Mr. Johnson, 15 you haven't given me the best compliment of any lawyer, 16 there is one lawyer who has given me a better one, Mr. 17 Pagano. 18 MR. JOHNSON: He is the master of compliments. 19 THE COURT: He is, yes. MR. ABRAMS: Mr. Johnson is most sincere. 20 21 THE COURT: Mr. Pagano gives everyone the same 22 compliment. Okay, we're going to be adjourned for today. 23 Be back here March 24th at 1:30 unless you all let me know 24 that you have a conflict or something like that, and we'll 25 do oral argument. I'll be ready and hopefully able to

1 rule from the bench at that point. Thank you so much. 2 MR. CHISOLM: Thank you. 3 4 CERTIFICATE 5 6 This is to certify that the foregoing thirty-three 7 (33) pages of typewritten material were taken down by me and 8 transcribed by me, and that the same contain a true and 9 accurate transcript of the proceedings as stated in the 10 caption. 11 I further certify that I am not of kin nor counsel to 12 any of the parties hereto, nor am I an interested party to 13 these proceedings. 14 This 16th day of March, 2016. 15 16 17 18 19 20 Andrea White, C.C.R. 21 Certificate Number-2611 22 133 Montgomery Street, Room 511 23 Savannah, Georgia 31401 24 courtreporter@chathamcounty.org his decument is a certified copy of the original document placed on record in 25 the office of the Clerk of Superior Court, Chatham County, GA. Given under my hang

Deputy Clerk, S.C.C.C., GA