1	IN THE RECORDER'S COURT OF CH	ATHAM COUNTY
2	STATE OF GEORGIA	
3		
4	STATE OF GEORGIA	
5) DC	OCKET NUMBER: 2013-10-0089-02
6	,	IARGES:
7) co	essession/distribution of ocaine
8	The following and the following is a control of the second to the second	essession of controlled
9	Defendant.) La	ne usage
10)	
11	COPY	
12		
13	Transcript of the proceedings heard in the Recorder's Court of Chatham County, Georgia, on the 12th day of November, 2013, before The Honorable Willie T. Yancey, Jr., Judge, transcribed by Amy Jo Kaska, Certified Court Reporter.	
14		
15		
16	APPEARANCES:	
17		
18	For the State:	MARCUS C. TUCKER, Esq. Assistant District Attorney
19		Chatham County Courthouse 133 Montgomery Street
20		Savannah, Georgia
21	For Defendant - Notto:	JONAH PINE, Esq.
22		Attorney at Law Savannah, Georgia
23		
24	For Defendant - Johnson:	LAURA G. HASTAY, Esq. Attorney at Law
25		Savannah, Georgia

Page

24

28

30

INDEX 1 2 3 STATE'S WITNESS: 4 AGENT COGSWELL 5 Direct Examination by Mr. Tucker..... 6 7 Cross-Examination by Mr. Pine....... 8 Cross-Examination by Ms. Hastay..... 9 10 Certificate of Reporter..... 11 12 13 14 The following was transcribed from an 15 (NOTE: 16 analog tape-recording. Notations of "(inaudible)" 17 indicate individuals speaking over each other and 18 "(unintelligible)" indicates that an individual is either speaking too rapidly or too softly so as to 19 20 not be intelligible.) 21 22 23

24

Who represents Wesley Johnson?

THE COURT:

1

MS. HASTAY: I do. 2 3 THE COURT: And, Mr. Pine, you represent Mr. Notto? 4 MR. PINE: Yes, Your Honor. 5 6 THE COURT: Okay. Your client, Mr. Pine, is 7 charged with -- looks like failure to drive within a 8 lane, possession of cocaine or possession of 9 controlled -- marijuana with intent to distribute --10 maybe that's a controlled substance with intent to 11 distribute. 12 And Mr. Johnson is charged with possession of 13 marijuana with intent to distribute. 14 Swear the witness in. 15 (NOTE: Witness sworn.) 16 THE COURT: Please, Mr. Tucker. 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 BY MR. TUCKER: 19 All right. Sir, if you would state your name and Q. 20 occupation for the record. 21 Α. Chase Cogswell. I'm with Savannah-Chatham Police. 22 currently assigned to CNT. Q. All right. Agent Cogswell, did you have occasion 23 to encounter either of these gentlemen here on the other 24 25 side of the courtroom on October 8th of this year?

A. Yes, I did.

- Q. All right. And what was the nature of that encounter? How was it that you obtained contact?
- A. On October -- I'm sorry. On August 30th Officer
 Tucker with the metro canine unit contacted me in reference
 to a defendant that he had arrested that was willing to
 cooperate and provide narcotic information to me.

I went out to that scene and that defendant indicated that someone that he knew named Fabian Notto was going to Atlanta every couple of weeks and getting five to seven ounces worth of Molly or ecstasy or bath salts.

They're all kind of called Molly. It ended up being bath salts.

He described what he drives which is a silver

Toyota Tundra. He said that he stores the drugs at 1903

Archer Street in the Liberty City neighborhood. And I think
that pretty much covers what he told me.

He also said the Fabian that he knew was on federal release, had recently gotten out of federal prison. I started looking in to the address on Archer Street and found Fabian Notto who had been released from federal prison in, I believe it was, February of this year, rode past and saw the vehicle there and got the license plate. That was all back in August.

On October 8th I went out with Agent Coren Clayton

and went out to do a surveillance on the house and see if there was any truth to what the defendant had told me.

So at 1515 hours, at 3:15 in the afternoon, I went out with the other agents and we set up to watch the house. Very shortly after setting up, I saw somebody fitting the size, description, height and weight description of Fabian Notto come out of the house and get in the pickup truck and he drove away.

We followed the vehicle. We followed it over to West 54th Street right off of Montgomery where he pulled on 54th and then went behind the laundry mat right there.

I went on passed on 54th and he went behind the laundry mat. When I came back by, he was parked all the way back in the corner of it. There was nothing really back there. There was a lot of parking out in the front and he was -- he was all the way -- it was an odd place to park given the traffic circumstances and everything.

So he pulled back out. When he pulled back out, he had somebody else in the car which ended up being Wesley Johnson. He went north on Montgomery Street.

Based on what I'd seen, I thought there was something fishy going on so I started watching the vehicle and I contacted central precinct's crime suppression unit to see if they were available to assist with the surveillance and see what was going on, because they frequently have

unmarked cars and can assist.

Officer Castro with an unmarked vehicle, he ended up assisting with the mobile surveillance. And on Montgomery Street at West Gwinnett Street going northbound, he observed Mr. Notto in the left turn lane and instead of turning left he went straight and kind of blew the intersection.

Officer Fikes with the crime suppression unit ended up doing a traffic stop on Liberty Street. He was informed of the vehicle description, tag. He made sure everything matched. He did a traffic stop on Liberty Street at -- I believe it was Barnard Street when the vehicle was going eastbound.

He approached. He also had Officer Knoop and Garcia assist him. They approached the vehicle who also -- as soon as -- actually before the door opened -- I guess the window was open and Officer Knoop could smell marijuana, could smell marijuana coming out of the car.

He asked Mr. Notto to -- Mr. Notto was driving. He asked him to turn off the vehicle, which he did. And then when he asked him to step out, he said that Mr. Notto -- he was -- he might take off on him.

He said he started reaching for the keys and the officer opened the car door and at that point Mr. Notto complied and stepped out of the vehicle.

When he stepped out, since they did smell marijuana, especially -- said once the door opened there was a strong smell of marijuana coming out from the passenger compartment.

He went to pat Mr. Notto down and he said he could feel him tighten up and he felt like he was trying to conceal something I guess between his legs.

When he finally did spread his feet out, a bag fell out and it had ten bags of marijuana in it at 8.5 grams total and then it had a 94 capsules with bath salts, MDPV, and ten more bags with bath salts in it.

- Q. Okay. And all of this was in the same -- within the same bag?
- A. Yes. It was in separate bags within one bigger bag.
- Q. Okay. And this was felt in the pants of Mr. Notto here?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. All right.
- A. So while they were doing that, passenger's on the other side said they -- I'm sorry. The officers on the other side could -- said they could smell slight odor of marijuana coming from the passenger side. The window was shut. The door was shut.

When they opened that door, they said, you know,

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the odor hit them in the face. They could immediately smell strong odor of marijuana. Passenger stepped out.

They said he was extremely nervous and had a bulge just below his waistband but not low enough that it belonged, I guess, had a large bulge right there.

They went ahead and patted him down and they could feel a bag with what they determined to be marijuana. They handcuffed him and got marijuana from his crotch area.

- Q. And "him" being the passenger, is that Mr. Johnson here?
 - A. Yes. Yes, it is.
- Q. All right. And you said a bulge and they -- what was in this bag, you said marijuana?
- A. It was three bags of marijuana. I believe it was -- it was 38 grams, I think. It was over an ounce. It was 39.5 grams.
 - Q. All right. And in three separate bags?
 - A. Yes. And he also had a digital scale.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. I'm sorry. Mr. Notto also had three Viagra pills in that bag. I forgot about those. I didn't charge him with those.
 - Q. All right. Anything else noteworthy?
- A. I conducted an interview. Mr. Notto did say that he gets about seven ounces of Molly from Atlanta. I told

him that it wasn't ecstasy in the capsules and he seemed surprised. I guess he thought it was ecstasy and he seemed surprised it was bath salts.

And I -- I actually asked him what was in the capsules, because I hadn't opened them up, and he said that it was the same thing that was in the bags, which we already tested, which was the bath salts.

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. We also did a -- I sent some agents out. Since he is on federal release he's a Fourth Amendment waiver. I sent some agents to the house at 1903 Archer Street, which was his mother's house, and she was there, and he had \$2400 in a drawer and a marijuana grinder. The \$2400 was seized.

And he also had another digital scale in the pickup truck in the glove box, which I forgot to mention.

MR. TUCKER: All right, Agent. The attorneys here may have some questions for you.

THE COURT: Mr. Pine.

MR. PINE: Thank you.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MR. PINE:

- Q. Agent Cogswell, correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. That's C-O-G-S-W-E-L-L?
- A. Yes.

- Q. Okay. Agent Cogswell, you began the investigation based on a defendant who was in custody with a canine investigator; is that right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You had never actually worked with that individual before, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And since they were trying to get consideration on their own arrest, you couldn't automatically assume everything they told you was correct, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Based on that, then, you went to go do surveillance of the residence at 1903 Archer Street; is that right?
- A. Yes. After doing some brief -- after doing some brief investigation and corroborating some of the things he said, yes.
- Q. Okay. And when you went and you watched 1903
 Archer Street, you did not see any suspicious activity
 there, did you?
- A. Well, almost very shortly I pulled up he left so there wasn't much time. But that's what I saw was him getting in the truck and leaving.
- Q. So you saw nothing suspicious at 1903 Archer Street, correct?

- 1
- Α. Correct.
- 2
- Q. But you followed him?
- 3
- Α. Yes.
- 4
- Q.
- 6

- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22 23
- 24
- 25

- Now, when you followed him you wound up following him from 1903 Archer Street to 54th and Montgomery; is that right?
 - Α. Yes.
- And about how far is the distance there? Can you give me an estimate?
 - Α. I'd estimate two miles.
- Q. In that two miles you did not witness anything suspicious, right?
- He went in a high rate of speed down 52nd Street. but I wasn't trying to get him pulled over. I wouldn't say it was overly suspicious, no.
 - Q. You didn't clock him on radar, right?
 - Α. Right.
- Q. You didn't witness any traffic violations, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, when he got to the intersection of 54th and Montgomery Street, the businesses that are there, you described a laundry mat. Do you know what laundry mat that is?
 - I don't know the specific name, no. It's the only Α.

- one at that intersection. As far as I know it's on the south side of 54th. It's the first business into that --
 - Q. Is there also a Mike's sandwich shop?
- A. There's a Michael's, but I believe that's a separate building further south.
 - Q. And where exactly did he go?
 - A. He went behind the laundry mat back to the corner.
- Q. Okay. And after he left that location, he had Mr. Johnson in the vehicle, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know if Mr. Johnson was working at that laundry mat?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. So you said that you thought things were suspicious, things looked fishy. What looked fishy?
- A. There were a lot of -- there were a lot of open parking spaces in front, where normally if I go to a business I am going to go to the front. He went not only off the main street, but he went all the way to the back corner to pick his friend up.

There's apartments back there. He didn't go to the apartments. There's a business there. He didn't go to the front of the business. He went behind it, way out of the way where there were no other cars.

Q. But your statement is is that he basically went

there to pick up a friend, right?

- A. That's what it appeared to be, yes.
- Q. And there's nothing illegal about that, correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. And he did not trespass at that location, did he?
- 6 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. But at that point you contact the crime suppression unit, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And your purpose in contacting the crime suppression unit is to get them to basically do a traffic stop on the vehicle, correct?
- A. No. It was to get them to assist, get the mobile surveillance and see where it goes with it from there. I only had one vehicle. Mobile surveillance in one vehicle was impossible so I wanted to utilize their unmarked unit to assist with watching him.
- Q. Okay. The unmarked unit that we're talking about was Officer Castro, right?
 - A. Right.
- Q. Castro doesn't have any video in his vehicle that you know of, does he?
 - A. No, he doesn't.
- Q. And Castro claims that he saw a traffic violation, right?

- A. Right. Which Fabian also corroborated during the interview. He said that he had missed a turn is how he described it.
 - Q. So he said that during a videotaped interview?
- A. Yeah. It was video and audio recorded, yes.

 Well, I'll tell you I -- I'm not sure of that part was or

 not. I can check my report. He called me back in to talk

 to me after I turned the video off, but I can check my

 report and see if that part is recorded.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. If you --
 - Q. I'll give you a moment to take a look at that.
- A. Sure. Yes, that was recorded. He said that he thought the reason he was at the office was he wasn't wearing a seat belt and that he made a wrong turn. And I asked him if he was talking about at Gwinnett Street and he said yes. That was recorded.
 - Q. Now, you did not see a traffic violation, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And to the best of your knowledge Officer Fikes,
 Officer Knoop and Officer Garcia did not see a traffic
 violation, right?
 - A. That's correct. Only Officer Castro.
- Q. Okay. And when Mr. Notto stated why he thought he was stopped, was he not just repeating what Officer Fikes

relayed to him?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. I don't understand the question.
- Q. Well, in other words, Officer Fikes when he approached wound up saying we're stopping you based on a missed turn, correct?
 - A. I'm sure he did, yes.
- Q. Okay. So Mr. Notto did not say that he actually committed a traffic violation. He just said he thought the reason he got stopped was because of an alleged traffic violation, right?
 - MR. TUCKER: I'm going to object. Asked and answered.

THE COURT: I'll let him answer.

THE WITNESS: He said that he thought he was there because he missed a turn. That's --

BY MR. PINE:

- Q. But he didn't actually state that he did miss the turn, right?
- A. I'd have to watch the interview to see exactly how he stated it.
 - Q. I understand (unintelligible) -- thank you.
- How did you contact the crime suppression unit?

 Did you do that by calling dispatch?
- A. No. I called -- I believe I called Officer Garcia on the phone and I think it was Garcia and then I got ahold

- of them on the radio. We were all on the same radio channel.
- Q. And so by going on the radio channel and by making phone calls, there wouldn't be any record of those calls, right?
- A. Without my phone records probably not, but there will be the radio traffic which was on Charlie 3.
 - Q. Okay. So that would be (unintelligible) --
 - A. That was (unintelligible) --
 - Q. -- through dispatch?
- A. I don't know if dispatch monitors that channel or not. I think they do, but I'm not sure. It was on an open channel.
- Q. Now, once Officer Fikes conducts the traffic stop,

 I believe that it was -- was it Knoop and Garcia who then

 approached the vehicle as back up?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Originally Fikes approached the vehicle, right?
- A. I'd have to check my report. I (unintelligible) through it. I can tell you for sure the order that they went in.
- Q. I'll withdraw the question. I guess what I'm really trying to figure out is this: Ultimately there was an officer who started asking that the driver Mr. Notto exit the vehicle. And that officer was actually Officer Knoop,

correct?

- A. Yes. Correct.
- Q. Okay. So Officer Knoop was telling him to exit the vehicle and he was doing that because he claimed he could detect a smell of marijuana, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And the statement of Officer Knoop was that the driver was reluctant to just get out of the vehicle. He reached as if he was going to reach to the keys, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Which could have meant that he was going to remove the keys and get out of the vehicle, right?
- A. I don't think that was the feeling he got. He's a very experienced officer. I think he can probably make a judgment -- he's had plenty of cars flee from him.
- Q. The fact is that the defendant did not actually attempt to put the car in drive, though, according to Officer Knoop's report, right?
- A. He didn't flee. But as far as his demeanor of what he believed he was going to do, I can't testify to that because I wasn't there.
- Q. Okay. And then when my client was reluctant to exit the vehicle, the officer actually opened up the vehicle himself and pulled the defendant out of the vehicle, correct?

- A. He opened the door. I'll check his report. I don't think he actually put hands on him. And I can check his report if you like me to.
- Q. Okay. At the time that he was asking the driver to exit the vehicle, was there anything other than the smell of marijuana that made him suspicious that you know of?
- A. Yeah. He wrote in his report that both passengers were very nervous. I can refer to that and get you what made him believe that if you want me to.
- Q. No. I've actually had a chance to review that report. I'll let you do that so thank you.
 - A. Okay. Sure.
- Q. At the time that Officer Knoop was patting down the defendant, he patted down one time and found nothing, correct?
- A. I don't know. All he told me -- I could check his report again. I'm not 100 percent sure on that. I know --
- Q. You would be basically just referring to the report in order to (unintelligible) out?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know whether or not the defendant was handcuffed at the time that he was patted down?
- A. I can refer to the report. I have it right here if you'd like me to. I don't think he was but -- I just read it but --

THE COURT: Were you present, Officer. Were you 1 present when it was going on? 2 THE WITNESS: No, sir. I wasn't right there. 3 THE COURT: Let's move on, Counsel. 4 MR. PINE: I'll move on. 5 BY MR. PINE: 6 What's the test that y'all use with the substance 7 Q. involved here? 8 Which substance? Α. 9 You were talking about bath salts, right? 10 Q. Yes. We have a field test kit for it and it's 11 Α. been set to GBI lab for further identification. 12 Okay. And do you know what kind of field test 13 Q. 14 that is by any chance? I don't know the brand of it, no. 15 Α. According to your investigation, though, the 16 Q. defendant seemed surprised that it was anything other than 17 Molly: is that what you have said? 18 Other than MDMA, which is ecstasy, but Molly --19 people commonly refer to the bath salts nowadays as Molly. 20 I believe -- from what he said during the 21 interview it seemed like he was surprised that he was 22 selling bath salts instead of ecstasy. 23 And Molly is ecstasy, right? 24 Q.

But like I said it's commonly --

Yes.

- Q. (Unintelligible).
- A. Yes. Yes. Now it's being commonly referred to as bath salts, Molly as well.
- Q. I understand. The statements that you received while you were doing the interview, I'm assuming that you had the defendant sign a waiver?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And you've got a written copy of that waiver?
 - A. Yes. In my case file, yes.
- Q. Okay. And in that statement there were statements by the defendant that he actually bought Molly in Atlanta at the tune of about seven ounces; is that right?
- A. He didn't give a written statement. He gave an oral statement. And the oral statement was actually given -- I turned the recorder off because he said he was done.

I turned it off and was getting ready to call transport and he called me back in the room and that's when he started going into the meat and potatoes, so to speak.

- Q. Now, the meat and potatoes, then, weren't recorded?
- A. Correct. I wasn't expecting him to say anything pertinent and he did.
- Q. And would anything have encouraged him to make a second statement after he said that the interview was over?

- 2
- Oh, yeah. Q.
- 3

- Α. unable to help him, but that was his motivation.
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- He was ready to inform other people and I was
- When he said I'm not making any further Q. statements, did you ask him any more questions?
- I don't believe he ever said that. I'd have to Α. check my report, but I don't think he ever said --
- At some point he said the interview was over, Q. right?
- Well, at a certain point when he stopped providing Α. information I ended the interview. It was not because he says "I don't have anything else to say" or "I want a lawver", it's we're not going forward.
- You had mentioned before that you weren't quite Q. sure if there was a recording of the second part of the interview.

And I think that you earlier testified that it was recorded but now you're saying that the second interview was not recorded?

- Sir, the first chunk was recorded. The part that Α. you asked me about was recorded. You were asking about the traffic infraction being recorded, right?
 - Right. And that was part of the second --Q.
 - That was part of the first part. That was Α.

recorded.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. Is that what you testified to earlier?
- A. As far as I know I've testified completely honestly. I'm doing the best I can. I don't understand your question --
 - Q. (Unintelligible) -THE COURT: (Unintelligible).

BY MR. PINE:

- Q. (Unintelligible) all that took place at the CNT office in the interview room, right?
 - A. Yes. Correct.
- Q. Okay. And is there any possibility that the audio might have been running without the video for the second half of the interview?
 - A. No, it wasn't. I turned the whole thing off.
 - Q. Nothing preserved that has his statements that you know of?
 - A. Nothing but my police report and my mind. That's it.
 - Q. Other than you, who was in the room when those statements were made?
 - A. I was the only one in the room. I'm not sure if anyone was observing from elsewhere. I can check into that.
 - Q. Okay. Just one brief moment, please, Judge.

 Was any citation given for any traffic violation?

8

7

9

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

- A. I'm not sure if he was cited or not. I could check the report to see if there were any in there if you'd like.
- Q. As far as the search of the residence goes, did you have a search warrant to do that?
 - A. No, I didn't.
 - Q. Do you have a written consent form?
- A. Let me check. I don't believe so. I believe it was done as a stipulation of his waived Fourth Amendment right.
- Q. At the time that you were calling into the crime suppression unit, had you received any information as to any Fourth Amendment waiver?
- A. When I called into them -- I knew that he was on federal release --
- Q. Specifically did you ever see or were you ever told that he was under any Fourth Amendment waiver?
- A. I was -- yes. He is under a Fourth Amendment waiver. He's not only on federal release. He's also on felony probation on the state level.
- It's coming back to me. I'm sorry. And he is a Fourth Amendment waiver. There's a copy of that in the case file.
- Q. I understand that. When were you made aware of this Fourth Amendment waiver?

A. I can't recall if it was before or after I went out there to do my surveillance.

THE COURT: Anything, Counsel?

MR. PINE: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Thank you. Ma'am.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

BY MS HASTAY:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. How much time elapsed between this canine scene informant and the arrest?
- A. It was about 38 days. It was August 30th until October 8th.
- Q. During that time was any illegal activity or trips to Atlanta observed?
- A. I -- unfortunately I'm very, very busy and I didn't even get to it for 38 days. I was so tied up with other cases I didn't get a chance to look into until then. This was my first day of surveillance.
- Q. Okay. I understand. And the informant gave information only on Mr. Notto, not on Mr. Johnson?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. What are the apartments that back up to the parking lot in question?
- A. There's Madison Apartments and I think the other ones are called Allen Apartments.
 - Q. Are there any pathways or anything for foot

traffic through the apartments and through the area?

- A. I don't know. I believe there's a fence there, but I don't know.
- Q. Do you know if Mr. Johnson lives in that area or in any of those apartments or not?
- A. He does not. He lives -- he's (unintelligible) out to 16 Clay Street and he told me he lives at 16 Clay Street, which is not back there. It's off of Louisville.
- Q. When the traffic stop occurred, did the officer -now which officer approached the passenger side? Could you
 remind me of that, please.
- A. I believe it was Officer Garcia. I'll check this and confirm that it was -- I'm 90 percent sure it was.

Yes, it was.

- Q. And by the way, are those reports finalized and available now or are they --
- A. Those reports are not. My report is. The Savannah metro, I actually checked today before I came here, and they still haven't (unintelligible) properly. I tried to call the supervisor today to see if I can get that done.
 - Q. So it should be soon then?
- A. It should be soon. I'll call them when I get out of here and see if I can get that done.
- Q. Okay. So according to Garcia's report, though, just for purposes of the record today, what are the details,

A. The driver's side window was open, because he was dealing with the traffic stopping officer, which was Mr. Notto's side. He said strong. He had an open window.

The passenger side, Officer Garcia said it was faint when the door was shut. Once the door opened, it hit him in the face.

- Q. And did he first ask for license or identification or made any sort of basic contact with my client before opening the door?
 - A. I'd have to check his report.
- Q. Okay. So basically it's all in the report. You don't have personal knowledge of this.
- A. Correct. All I have is their report and what they told me on the scene.
- Q. And what were the details of him supposedly acting extremely nervous in that report?
- A. They said that he -- his chest was going back and forth. He was taking extremely fast and heavy breaths. He wouldn't make eye contact with the officers, which is unusual.

You know, if there's a police officer outside the window usually you'll at least look up and I guess he was staring straight ahead and --

- Q. And then it was the --
- A. That's it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And then it was the pat down that triggered the handcuffs?
- A. Well, once he stepped out they saw the bulge there and then they patted him down and felt the -- felt the bag of marijuana stuffed in his pants.
- Q. Okay. You mentioned a scale being removed from Mr. Johnson. Was that -- did you even speak -- or was a scale attributed to him?
- A. A scale was attributed to him. I believe it was on the -- I believe it was on the floorboard under him, but I can check and confirm that.
- Q. But nothing else was found on the passenger side of the car, right?
- A. The other scale was also on the passenger side, but it was in the glove box and looked neat like it had been there so I didn't attribute that to him. But I believe the other was on the floorboard. If you want me to check, I can.
 - Q. No, that's okay.
 - A. Okay.
 - MS. HASTAY: Thank you.
 - THE COURT: Counsel, any other questions?
 - MS. HASTAY: No further questions.

THE COURT: Okay. As it relates to Mr. Notto, I do find probable cause to possession of controlled substance with intent to distribute; possession of marijuana with intent to distribute; and the traffic violation failure to drive within the lane is also bound over to Superior Court.

With Mr. Johnson I do find probable cause to possession of marijuana with intent to distribute so I'll bind that over to Superior Court. Thank you.

MR. PINE: Thank you, sir.

Your Honor, I'd like to make a brief request for a bond for Mr. Notto. I will point out it appears to me that he has at least four prior felony convictions and that he is currently under felony sentence.

THE COURT: Still a -- there's a federal hold on him?

MR. TUCKER: I'm showing he's under sentence on a state and that there is a --

THE COURT: On a supervisory release (unintelligible)?

MR. TUCKER: Yes. Understanding it's on a CR09, Freesemann case, 0506 regarding the federal matter.

THE COURT: Even with that, I'm denying your request, Counsel.

MR. PINE: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. 1 May I inquire as to my client as well 2 MS. HASTAY: on bond, because I don't think he has much of a record. 3 Many years have passed since his prior, one and only 4 5 prior. MR. TUCKER: Well, Mr. Johnson --6 MS. HASTAY: There is a hold. 7 Yeah, he's under -- I believe more MR. TUCKER: 8 He's under CR110629, possession of marijuana 9 than one. 10 with intent. That's through December of 2015 is his 11 sentence. Also he's under CR040142. That's a trafficking 12 That's a 12-year sentence from '04. 13 cocaine. THE COURT: Any consideration is also denied. 14 Superior Court. 15 Thank you. End of proceedings.) 16 (NOTE: 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF GEORGIA:

COUNTY OF EFFINGHAM:

I, Amy Jo Kaska, Certified Court Reporter, State of Georgia, do hereby certify:

That the foregoing twenty-nine (29) pages of typewritten material was transcribed by me from an analog tape-recording; that the questions and answers thereto were reduced to typewriting under my direction; that the foregoing pages represent a true, accurate and complete record of the testimony of the witnesses and of all objections made at the time of the proceeding.

I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel to the parties in the case; am not in the regular employ of counsel for any of said parties; nor am I in anywise interested in the result of said case.

This, the 17th day of May, 2014.

AMY JO KASKA,

Georgia CCR No. 2531

California CSR No. 12572