DATE: 8/17/2020

## ALLEGATION/INQUIRY/COMMENDATION-REPORT FORM

I. Inform	ation Source:		840	
Name: Care	y A Paul Race:	w Sex: m Age: 4		/1973
Address: 485 Gilmer Ferry Rd.		City: Ball Ground	Birth: <b>Z</b> ip:	30107
Home Phone:		Business Phone:		
4		e e		
II. Deputy/Ci	vilian Employee	Information:		
Name:	Michael Wells	Rank: Sgt.	I.D.#:	
Division:	UPD	Unit Number:	D3	
Name: Division:		Rank: Unit Number:	I.D.#:	
III. Location o	f Incident:			10
Cumming Hw	y. at Shady Land	2		
Date:	8/16/2020	Time: 1522	Case Number:	
IV. Description	n of Incident: [	XAllegation ☐Inquiry ☐(	Commendation	
See Attached				
V. Witness In				
Name:	Rachael Hart	Address: 485 Gilmer	Ferry Rd City:	Ball Ground
Home Phone:		Business Phone:		Olomia
Name: Home Phone:		Address: Business Phone:	City:	
VI. Person Tal	king Report:			
Name: <b>D</b> a	avid Simmons	Rank	Lt.	

## VII. Supervisor's Comments/Recommendations: See attached VIII. Allegation/Inquiry/Commendation Information: How A/I/C Received: Telephone Written Form Personal Contact A/I/C Referred to: Capt. New A/I/C Resolved on Initial Contact Allegation/Inquiry Withdrawn IX. Documentation Attached: Incident Report Written Statement Other X. Division Commanders Review: CAPTAIN 08 17 2020 (Signature) (Date) No further Action Required. Refer to \_\_\_\_\_ for further investigation. Recommend Formal Commendation. Additional Comments: Sustained: The evidence is sufficient to prove the allegations. Not Sustained: The evidence is insufficient to either prove or disprove the allegations. Exonerated: The evidence shows that the incident occurred and was lawful or proper.

The evidence shows that the allegation is false or not factual.

Policy Failure: The evidence is sufficient to show that the incident occurred, but was a result of flawed policy or procedures.

Unfounded:

## XI. Service of Employee

•	ify that the allegations have been dis and acknowledge that I understand	scussed and described to me. I have received a	copy of the Employee
51-19534		081720	
Employee Signature		Date	64
Witness Signature		Date	
XII. Notifi	cation of Findings		
not sustained further under	d, exonerated, unfounded, or policerstand that the investigating offic	the duty to inform me of the outcome of this y failure) and I hereby certify and affirm th er is merely a finder of fact and will not det	nat he / she has done so. I
Employe Signature	action will be taken.	081720	
Witness Signature		Date	
Distribution:	Division Commander Internal Affairs		

## CHEROKEE COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE MEMORANDUM

TO:

Captain John New

FROM:

Lt. David Simmons

DATE:

8/17/2020

**SUBJECT:** 

AIC against Sgt. Wells

1. On Monday, August 17, 2020, I received a phone call complaint from Carey Anthony Paul regarding Sgt. Wells being rude and acting in an unprofessional manner. Specifically Mr. Paul stated to me that he was stopped by Sgt. Wells on Cumming Highway on Sunday, August 16, 2020 sometime after 1500 hours, but before 1600 hours. Mr. Paul said he was in a "deep conversation" with his wife and was not paying attention to his speed. He said he was "following the vehicle in front of me and just wasn't paying that much attention". When he saw Sgt. Wells approaching he said he looked down and saw he was driving 60MPH. When Sgt. Wells turned around on him, Mr. Paul said he pulled right over. Mr. Paul said from the start Sgt. Wells' demeanor and attitude were "confrontational" and Mr. Paul said it was like he "was looking for a fight". Mr. Paul said when he asked for a RADAR accuracy check, Sgt. Wells became more confrontational, but went back to his vehicle. Mr. Paul said he watched in the rear view mirror and saw Sgt. Wells "try seven times" to test the RADAR. Mr. Paul said he is a former law enforcement officer and knows "how this works" and stated he believed Sgt. Wells was "trying to get the reading he wanted". Mr. Paul said when Sgt. Wells came back to him Mr. Paul asked why it took so many times to get the test performed. He said Sgt. Wells became defensive and said he is a RADAR instructor and "knows what he is doing" Mr. Paul said he asked Sgt. Wells for a supervisor and that Wells told him he was the supervisor. Mr. Paul said he then asked for Sgt. Wells' supervisor and was told to "look it up on the web site". Mr. Paul said he signed the citation and Sgt. Wells returned his license. Mr. Paul said his wife was upset by this as well and convinced him to call and report this. Mr. Paul was told by me of the steps involved in taking and investigating a formal AIC. I explained that I would review Sgt. Wells' in car video/audio and then write up his allegation and forward it up the chain of command. Mr. Paul said he would await the results of the inquiry.

- 2. I next checked the Digital Ally VuVualt system for the video from Sunday, but it was not on the system. I contacted Sgt. Wells and asked him about uploading his video for review and he told me he had anticipated the possibility of a complaint and had made a DVD copy of the patrol video, which was on his desk along with a copy of the citation. When asked why he believed there may be a complaint from this stop, Sgt. Wells said the male was argumentative with him from the start. Wells also said the wife (passenger) was interjecting herself as well. I watched the video and noted the following:
- 3. First Sgt. Wells was proceeding on Cumming Highway EAST bound when Mr. Paul's vehicle approached WEST bound. There was NO vehicle in front of Mr. Paul as he claimed to me over the phone.
- 4. Sgt. Wells turned around on Cumming Hwy. and Got behind Mr. Paul's vehicle, which did immediately pull over.
- 5. As Sgt. Wells approached the vehicle, Mr. Paul already had his license in his hand held out of the open driver's window. The microphone pack Sgt. Wells has would cut in and out, making it difficult to get any dialog between the parties. Add to this the noise of the traffic on Cumming Hwy. and it becomes even more difficult to determine what was said by either party. The initial encounter between them is brief (40seconds) and nothing in Sgt. Wells' body language suggests any problems with the stop.
- 6. Sgt. Wells returns to his patrol vehicle and fills out the citation. During his time in the patrol vehicle he doesn't say anything and gives no indication of being upset with the initial encounter. Once the citation is complete Sgt. Wells approaches the vehicle again. Again the audio is cutting in and out and it is difficult to hear the dialog between the two. Mr. Paul asks for the radar accuracy check and at 6:55 minutes into the stop I could hear Sgt. Wells say he would check the radar and be right back. I hear Sgt. Wells ask for "another unit" over the radio. Sgt. Wells repositions his patrol vehicle and begins the accuracy check. I can distinctly hear the tuning forks at least five times on the video and as the last fork is struck I hear Sgt. Wells state "perfect". He repositions his vehicle back to the original orientation and gets out to approach Mr. Paul's vehicle again. The entire radar testing took 2 minutes and 10 seconds. I can hear Sgt. Wells at one point explaining the different parts of the accuracy test. I can hear Mr. Paul raising his voice, but I cannot tell what he is saying. Sgt. Wells is never heard raising his voice. I can hear Sgt. Wells explaining the court date too. I never heard the encounter that Mr. Paul says is him asking for a supervisor or Sgt. Wells telling him to look it up on line. Sgt. Wells said when asked about his supervisor, he told Mr. Paul that

- Lt. Simmons is his supervisor and that he would be in Monday morning. He did say that Mr. Paul could look up the precinct information on our website.
- 7. Based on my investigation of this incident I am recommending the matter be closed, either: 1. *Exonerated*; as I cannot find any evidence that Sgt. Wells was either rude or unprofessional, but Mr. Paul can be heard raising his voice twice, or 2.; *Not Sustained* as there is insufficient evidence, due to the bad audio, that proves or disproves Mr. Paul's allegation.
- 8. A copy of the citation and the in car video are attached.

(טר/רט) צצ-צטט

Court Case Number

UNIFORM TRAFFIC CITATION, SUMMONS AND ACCUSATION SS NR-GA0280000  $260245\,$ GEORGIA

NCIC NO. SS NR-GA028000 A. M ☐VASCAR ☐LASER ☐RADAR NOTICE: This citation shall constitute official notice to you that failure to appear in Court at the date and time stated on this citation to dispose of the cited charges against you shall cause the shall remain in effect until such time as there is a satisfactory disposition in this matter or the Court Court and your driver's license shall be suspended. (Georgia Code 17-6-11 and 40-5-56) The suspension PAY ONLINE AT WWW.CHEROKEECOTIX.COM OR CALL 678-493-6550 designated Court to forward your driver's license number to the Department of Driver Services, ARRESTING OFFICER'S CERTIFICATION

The undersigned has just and geasonable grounds to be industrial the person named herein has committed the relative continued to the continue FATALITIES | YEST NO Local Ordinance: County STATE COURT • STATE → SUPERIOR • JUVENILE COURT • SUPERIOR • JUVENILE COURT • SUPERIOR • SUTE G170 • PHONE (678) 493-6550 Citation Númber ☐ 16+ Passengers
☐ Commercial Vehicle Violation
☐ Hazardous Material Violation 22 COMMERCIAL VIOLATION IN Z MPH in a 1 Expire OTHER) DUI Test Results State Color of K State Law REPEASED TO WE AND RECEIPT OF COPY OF SAME Zip Code. Sex non CHEROKEE SHERIFF'S OFFICE SUPERIOR INJURIES | YES PRO 2021 , Georgia 30114. of (city) OAD

The fight of the committee of the contract of the contrac B 5 the out , or within (city) **Endorsements** ☐ Daylight ☐ Darkness ☐ Other 411-10-110 LIGHTING ž ☐ BREATH ☐ URINE NCIC Number miles You are hereby ordered to appear in Court to answer this charge on the Style (Year) . Calibration/Check LICENSE DISPLAYED IN LIEU OF BAIL TOYES STAND SIGNATURE ACKNOWLEDGES SERVICE OF THIS SUMMO 护 ACCIDENT | YES | NO at or near mile post notifies the Department of Driver Services. State AD (B)
Concrete
Diacktop
Dirt □ BLOOD 145/ the L TEST ADMINISTERED BY (If Applicable) Hair CANTON ROAD 2 OFFENSE (Other than above) the offense set forth, contrasy to In Violation of Code Section 10000 DUI (Test Administered: 0 License Class or Type Operator License No. County of CHEROI S ☐ 2-LANE ROAD Within the Sate of CDL | YES Registration No. ation (secondari OFFICER hoh Cloudy Raining Other WEATHER SIGNATURE SIGNATURE 100 REMARKS Veh. Yr. (Serial # Address DOB Name. lo lo S S 20, at 3 NOLATION **ГОСАТІОИ** SNOWWNS NOITACIFICATION SECTION I - VIOLATOR SECTION II SECTION III SECTION IV SECTION V

ALITHORIZED AND APPROVED DI IDOLIANIT